

Improvement in Reflected Target Non-Intrusive Assessment (ReTNA) Optical Measurement System

Devon Kesseli, Mojolaoluwa Keshiro, Rebecca Mitchell Presented by Rebecca Mitchell, SolarPACES 2023 October 10th-14th, Sydney, Australia

The NREL Optics Team





Dr. Gaungdong Zhu



Dr. Rebecca Mitchell



Tucker Farrell

Devon Kesseli



Miriam Caron



Kyle Sperber





Contents

1	ReTNA: Overview
2	Improvements
3	Portable System Development



Background

Reflected **T**arget **N**on-intrusive **A**ssessment (ReTNA)

State-of-the-Art

Deflectometry: measurement by deflected reflection.

- Fringes powerful, high resolution, more complicated setup: Qdec (DLR, CSP Services), SOFAST (Sandia), Fraunhofer ISE.
- **Reflected target or pattern** ReTNA (NREL), VIS-PT (ENEA, reflected lights).
- Photogrammetry 3D positional measurement: well established, but requires targets attached to mirror surface.
- Interferometry: Ultra high precision, used for telescope mirrors, difficult on larger surfaces.



N. S. Finch and C. E. Andraka https://doi.org/10.1115/ES2011-54455



Fig. 6. Photogrammetry measurements carried out at ENEA's PTC facility by CENER's technicians.

Objective

Reflected **T**arget **N**on-intrusive **A**ssessment (**ReTNA**) - a tool for:

- Assembly line QA/QC, particularly at small installations.
- Adaptability to measurement at a variety of heliostat sizes and orientations.
 ReTNA



Component testing – measurement after wind load simulation and repeated stress testing.

An Integrated Heliostat: full scale heliostat testing, facet canting measurement. Mass Production of Heliostats: Assembly line quality assurance and control.

NIO (NREL's tool

for field analysis)

ReTNA Motivation

Tall, thin, printed target panels, mounted to wall and ceiling

Powerful fringe deflectometry methods already exist. Deflectometry with coded targets have some advantages:

- Low cost, easy setup vs. large screen target needed for fringe deflectometry easy to install for any size/orientation heliostat.
- Leverage **recent advances in computer vision**, open-source tools.
- **Easy to debug**, direct measurement of target points with PG removes setup precision and calibration requirements.
- **Ambient lighting**, for warehouse or assembly line (no projector).
- **2D slope measurement** with a single image.

Disadvantages:

• Lower resolution compared to fringe methods



ReTNA Features

Reflected Target Non-intrusive Assessment (ReTNA)

- 1. Photogrammetry locates mirror and all target points in space.
- 2. Camera collects images of reflected targets.
- 3. Camera position is solved directly from image.
- 4. Reflected target points automatically identified
- 5. Measure mirror **surface slope** and facet **canting**.



ReTNA Workflow



New Development: Portable System

Since SolarPACES 2022

New Targets for Faster Analysis

- Dots upgraded to ChArUco target: a coded chessboard using OpenCV ArUco targets¹.
- These allow for a dense and automatically distinguishable target, even when distorted.



¹S. Garrido-Jurado, R. Mu noz Salinas, F.J. Madrid-Cuevas, and M.J. Marín-Jiménez, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patcog.2014.01.005

Coded, Lightweight Target

- Portable version for measurement at ENEA.
- **Keep an eye out for the metrology system round robin results, led by ENEA!





Photogrammetry Work

- Reducing target flatness requirements was essential for the portable system.
- Target is located with respect to mirror using photogrammetry. ChArUco targets located automatically.
- Lightweight, warped targets are fine, if we can correctly quantify it with photogrammetry.
- We can estimate precision with the photogrammetry model, which leads to quantified uncertainty in the results.

Photogrammetry model

z-axis exaggerated 10x to see measured sagging and twisting in target.





Results

Lastly, this system required us to use a thinner target, and many images.

- 1. Results interpolated onto regular grid.
- 2. Overlapping grid points identified.
- 3. Results stitched together into interpolated surface slope map.





Automated System Development

Many lessons learned from the portable system development are being used in the laboratory system.









Questions or Comments?

Thank You!

www.nrel.gov

NREL/PR-5700-87734

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.



Additional Slides

Extra info

Approach Accuracy

Since target positions are solved directly using photogrammetry, measurement accuracy is determined by the accuracy of these photogrammetry measurements.

- First order estimate by small angle approx. More detailed studies completed.
- Balancing act:
 - Smaller distances = higher precision required.
 - Larger distances = unrecognizable targets.
- Higher accuracy than slope measurement with direct PG

Small angle approx.:

$$\frac{\mu}{l} = Sin(d\theta) \approx d\theta = 2\theta_{\hat{n}}$$

So a target uncertainty of 1mm, for a target 1m from the mirror, means a measurement uncertainty of 0.5 mrads



Photogrammetry Precision

- Photogrammetry used to create 3D scene (find target points in mirror reference frame).
- From this, we can create a target point dictionary and measure point precision.
- Once per setup.
- We are working to move from commercial photogrammetry software to custom tools.
- Since target points are found in 3D directly, we can ignore projector distortion and target bending.





Q



Thank You



www.nrel.gov

Devon Kesseli Devon.Kesseli@nrel.gov National Renewable Energy Laboratory United States

This work was authored by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Funding provided by the DOE's Solar Energy Technology Office (SETO). The views expressed in the article do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

